Application/Control Number: 10/572,597 Page 2

Art Unit: 2621

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: the specification discloses how Fig.7B is obtained by weighting of Fig.7A (pp.11, line 2-21), but there is not enough information how it is obtained. It should be clear if the constant values of equation 2 and what is the counting range of NUM_MBR (number of non-zero members.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 6 and 11 are objected to because of the following informalities: They
recite "motion clocks". The examiner maintains that it is typographical error and it
should be "motion blocks". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 2621

Regarding claim 4, it recites "The method according to claim 2, further comprising the step of: applying a weighting function to each cell of said table".

However, it is not clear what is the objective or the result of the weighting function. Therefore, it is indefinite.

Regarding claims 5-6, they are dependent on the claim 4. Therefore, they are rejected too.

Regarding claim 5, it recites "NUM_MBR is the number of its non-zero members". However, it is not clear in what region non-zero members are counted. For example, it could be counted among nearest neighbors or whole picture frame or some definite region surrounding the current 16x16 macroblock.

Regarding claim 6, it recites "accumulated number of motion blocks". However, it is not clear in how the block is determined as motion block or not.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-4, and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimizu (US 6,512,537), hereafter referenced as Shimizu.

Regarding claim 1, Shimizu discloses Motion Detecting Apparatus, Motion

Detecting Method, and Storage Medium Storing Motion Detecting Program for Avoiding

Art Unit: 2621

Incorrect Detection. Shimizu specifically discloses A method for detecting motion (Fig.1) from a digital video stream (Video Signal, Fig.1) comprising the steps of: inputting the digital video stream (Video Signal, Fig.1) into an MPEG (Moving Picture

Expert Group) encoder (MPEG Video Encoder 2, Fig.1);

abstracting the relevant video motion detection data (Minimum Difference Sum-Total Area 82 and Zero Difference Sum-Total Area 83, Fig.3) from said digital video stream;

estimating the amount of motion for each of the 16x 16-pixel macro-block (S52, Fig.5), from said abstracted video motion detection data (AE0_{i,j} and AE_{i,j},Fig.5) of a current image frame relative to the corresponding 16x 16-pixel macro-block of an image reference frame; and

determining, from the estimated amount of motion, whether the current frame is a motion flame (S151, Fig. 15).

Regarding claim 2, Shimizu discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Shimizu further discloses wherein said step of estimating comprises the steps of: calculating the Sum of Absolute Differences (SAD) (Sum-total of absolute luminance differences, col.8, line 29-30) for each 16x16-pixel macro-block (16x16 macroblock, col.7, line 51-52) of the current image frame relative to image reference frame; and placing the SAD values of every macro-block in a designated table (Minimum Difference

Sum-Total Area 82 and Zero Difference Sum-Total Area 83, Fig.3).

Art Unit: 2621

each cell of said table.

Regarding claim 3, Shimizu discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 2). Shimizu further discloses wherein said SAD is defined as:

 $SAD16(xc,yc,xr,yr) = \sum_{ij=0..16} |C_{xc+i,yc+j} - C_{xr+i,yr+j}|; (Sum-total of absolute luminance differences, col.8, line 29-30);$

where C is the current image and R is the reference image.

Regarding claim 4, Shimizu discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 2). In addition, Shimizu discloses further comprising the step of: applying a weighting function (weighting one and -1 to AE0 and AE1, S52, Fig.5), to

Regarding claim 6, Shimizu discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 4). In addition, Shimizu discloses wherein said step of determining (S151, Fig.15) comprises the steps of: summing the cells of the SAD table (Adding notion macroblocks in the critical area according to S151,Fig.15); and

if the accumulated number of motion clocks is larger than a pre-

determined threshold value (At least one macroblock, S151, fig.15) designating said current image frame as a motion flame.

Regarding claim 7, Shimizu discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition, Shimizu discloses further comprising the step of: calculating the Motion Vector (MV) (calculates motion vectors, col.8, line 43-44) for each of the 16xl6-pixel macro- blocks of said image.

Regarding claim 8, Shimizu discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). In addition, Shimizu discloses further comprising the step of:

Art Unit: 2621

transferring the data associated with each of the motion frames together with the encoded video stream to a control center (Motion Detecting Apparatus 4, Fig.1) for further analysis.

Regarding **claim 9**, the claimed invention is an apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim 2 if claim 2 is written independent form. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 2.

Regarding claim 10, the claimed invention is an apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim 6 if claim 6 is written independent form. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 6.

Regarding **claim 11**, the claimed invention is an apparatus claim corresponding to the method claim 6 if claim 6 is written independent form. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reason as claim 6.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sugimoto (US 2002/0,118,862) discloses Moving Object Detection and Image Monitoring System. Zhao (US 2003/0,067,981) discloses Systems and Methods for Performing Bit Rate Allocation for a Video Data Stream.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HEE-YONG KIM whose telephone number is (571)270-3669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 8:00am-5pm EST.

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on 571-272-7905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HEE-YONG KIM/ Examiner, Art Unit 2621

/Andy S. Rao/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2621 June 10, 2010